

**UTAH 2008 305(b) REPORT  
PART 2 INTEGRATED REPORT**



**Utah Division of Water Quality  
Utah Department of Environmental Quality**

Utah 2008 305(b) Report  
Part 2 Integrated Report

Tom Toole

November 29, 2010

Utah Division of Water Quality  
Department of Environmental Quality  
P.O Box 144870  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

## Table of Contents

<i>Chapter 2.1 Executive Summary</i> .....	1
2.1.1 Introduction.....	1
2.1.2 Stream Monitoring.....	2
2.1.3 Lakes / Reservoirs.....	5
<i>Chapter 2.2 Overview of Division of Water Quality's Water Quality Programs</i> .....	7
2.2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2.2 Water Pollution Control Programs.....	7
2.2.2.1 Watershed Approach - 305(b) Program.....	7
2.2.2.2 Clean Lakes Program - 314 Program.....	8
2.2.2.3. Nonpoint Source Program Overview -319 Program.....	8
2.2.2.4 Water Quality Standards Program.....	11
2.2.2.5 Point Source Control Program.....	11
2.2.2.5.1 Construction Activities.....	13
2.2.2.5.2 Industrial Activities.....	14
2.2.2.5.3 Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems.....	14
2.2.2.6 Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Program.....	15
2.2.2.7 Ground Water Protection Program.....	16
2.2.2.8 Wetlands Assessment Program.....	18
2.2.2.9 Cost/Benefit Assessment.....	19
2.2.2.9.1 Point Source.....	19
2.2.2.9.2 Nonpoint Source.....	20
<i>Chapter 2.3. Statewide River and Stream Water Quality Assessment</i> .....	23
2.3.1 Introduction.....	23
2.3.2 Statewide Assessment Results.....	25
2.3.2.1 Assessment for Mercury in Fish Tissue.....	25
2.3.2.2 Assessment by Category.....	25
2.3.2.3 Overall Use Support.....	27
2.3.2.4 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	28
2.3.2.5 Causes of Not Supporting.....	29
2.3.2.6 Sources of Not Supporting.....	29
<i>Chapter 2.4 Bear River Watershed Management Unit</i> .....	37
2.4.1 Introduction.....	37
2.4.2 Water Quality Assessment Results.....	37
2.4.1.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support.....	37
2.4.1.2 Assessment by Categories.....	39
2.4.1.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	39
2.4.1.4 Total l Waters Impaired by Various Causes.....	42
2.4.1.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources.....	42
2.4.1.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	42
<i>Chapter 2.5 Weber River Watershed Management Unit Assessment</i> .....	50
2.5.1 Introduction.....	50
2.5.2 Water Quality Assessment Results.....	50

2.5.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	51
2.5.1.2 Assessment by Categories.....	51
2.5.1.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	51
2.5.1.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	55
2.5.1.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	55
2.5.1.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	55
<i>Chapter 2.6 Utah Lake-Jordan River Watershed Management Unit Assessment .....</i>	<i>62</i>
2.6.1 Introduction.....	62
2.6.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	62
2.6.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	62
2.6.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment by Categories .....	63
2.6.2.3 Individual Use Support .....	63
2.6.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	64
2.6.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	64
2.6.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	64
<i>Chapter 2.7 Uinta Watershed Management Unit Water Quality Assessment .....</i>	<i>76</i>
2.7.1 Introduction.....	76
2.7.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	76
2.7.2.1 Assessment by Categories.....	77
2.7.2.2 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	77
2.7.2.3 Individual Use Support .....	77
2.7.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	78
2.7.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	78
2.7.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	78
<i>Chapter 2.8 Sevier River Watershed Management Unit Assessment .....</i>	<i>90</i>
2.8.1 Introduction.....	90
2.8.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	90
2.8.2.2 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	91
2.8.2.3 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories .....	91
2.8.1.3 Individual Use Support .....	91
2.8.1.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	92
2.8.1.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	92
2.8.1.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	92
<i>Chapter 2.9 Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>103</i>
2.9.1 Introduction.....	103
2.9.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	103
2.9.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	103
2.9.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment by Categories.....	104
2.9.2.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	104
2.9.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	108
2.9.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	108
<i>Chapter 2.10. Lower Colorado River Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>115</i>
2.10.1 Introduction.....	115
2.10.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	115

2.10.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	115
2.10.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories .....	116
2.10.2.3 Individual Beneficial Use Support.....	116
2.10.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	117
2.10.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	117
2.10.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	117
<i>Chapter 2.11 Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit Water Quality Assessment .....</i>	<i>126</i>
2.11.1 Introduction.....	126
2.11.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	126
2.11.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	127
2.11.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment By Categories .....	127
2.11.2.3 Individual Use Support .....	128
2.11.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	128
2.11.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	128
2.11.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	128
<i>Chapter 2.12 Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....</i>	<i>137</i>
2.12.1 Introduction.....	137
2.12.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	137
2.12.2.1 Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	138
2.12.2.2 Beneficial Use Assessment by Category .....	138
2.12.2.3 Individual Use Support .....	138
2.12.2.4 Total Waters Impaired by Various Causes .....	139
2.12.2.5 Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources .....	139
2.12.2.6 Impaired Assessment Units.....	139
<i>Chapter 2.13 Great Basin Watershed Management Unit Assessment.....</i>	<i>150</i>
2.13.1 Introduction.....	150
2.13.2 Water Quality Assessment Results .....	150
<i>Chapter 2.14 Lake Water Quality Assessment.....</i>	<i>154</i>
2.14.1 Introduction.....	154
2.14.2 Trophic Status .....	156
2.14.3 Control and Restoration Efforts .....	158
2.14.4 Impaired and Threatened Lakes.....	160
2.14.5 Acid Effects on Lakes.....	170
2.14.6 Toxic Effects on Lakes .....	171
2.14.7 Trends in Lake Water Quality.....	173
2.14.8 Assessment Categories.....	178
<i>Chapter 2.15 Biological Assessment Results.....</i>	<i>189</i>
2.15.1 Results.....	189
2.15.2 Conclusions and Next Steps.....	193
<i>Chapter 2.16.7 Assessment Units with Elevated Levels of Phosphorus .....</i>	<i>200</i>

## List of Tables

Table 2.3-1	Water Quality Monitoring Regions .....	24
Table 2.3-2	Stream Assessment Units that have Fish Consumption Advisories .....	25
Table 2.3-3	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – State Wide .....	26
Table 2.3-4	Individual Use Support Summary .....	29
Table 2.3-5	Categories of Data Used in ALUS Assessments for Wadeable Streams and Rivers .....	30
Table 2.3-6	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles).....	31
Table 2.3-7	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) ....	31
Table 2.4-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	37
Table 2.4-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	39
Table 2.4-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	40
Table 2.4-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	44
Table 2.4-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	44
Table 2.4-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	49
Table 2.5-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Weber River Watershed Management Unit .....	50
Table 2.5-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	51
Table 2.5-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Weber River Watershed Management Unit .....	52
Table 2.5-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Weber Watershed Management Unit.....	56
Table 2.5-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Weber Watershed Management Unit.....	56
Table 2.5-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Weber River Watershed Management Unit .....	61
Table 2.6-1	Hydrological Unit Codes and Names .....	62
Table 2.6-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit .....	63
Table 2.6-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support Summary Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles) .....	64
Table 2.6-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit .....	68
Table 2.6-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Sources – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit .....	68
Table 2.6-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Jordan/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	73
Table 2.7-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Uinta Watershed Management Unit.	76

Table 2.7-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Uinta Watershed Management Unit .....	77
Table 2.7-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Uinta Watershed Management Unit	77
Table 2.7-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles).....	79
Table 2.7-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) ....	79
Table 2.7-6	Assessment Units Needing a TMDL Analysis .....	86
Table 2.8-1	Hydrological Unit Codes and Names .....	90
Table 2.8-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	91
Table 2.8-3	Individual Use Support Summary – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit .....	92
Table 2.8-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Sevier Watershed Management Unit .....	95
Table 2.8-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Sevier Watershed Management Unit .....	95
Table 2.8-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Sevier Watershed Management Unit...	100
Table 2.9-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	103
Table 2.9-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Cedar/Beaver.....	104
Table 2.9-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles) Classification - 2008 .....	105
Table 2.9-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	110
Table 2.9-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	110
Table 2.10-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	115
Table 2.10-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	116
Table 2.10-3	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	116
Table 2.10-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories - Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit .....	120
Table 2.10-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit .....	120
Table 2.10-6	Impaired Assessment Units in the Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	125
Table 2.11-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	126
Table 2.11-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	127
Table 2.11-3	Individual Beneficial Use Support – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit (Stream Miles) .....	128
Table 2.11-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	131

Table 2.11-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	131
Table 2.11-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	136
Table 2.12-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	137
Table 2.12-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	138
Table 2.12-3	Individual Use Support Summary - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	139
Table 2.12-4	Total Waters Impaired by Various Cause Categories (Stream Miles) - Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	143
Table 2.12-5	Total Waters Impaired by Various Source Categories (Stream Miles) – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	143
Table 2.12-6	Impaired Waters Located in the Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	149
Table 2.13-1	U.S.G.S. Hydrological Units in the West Desert Watershed Management Unit .....	150
Table 2.13-2	Stream Miles by Assessment Category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	151
Table 2.14-1	Utah Freshwater Lakes and Reservoirs by Size Class Showing Numbers, Surface Acres and Percent of Total Lake Surface .....	156
Table 2.14-2	Trophic Status of Lakes Based on Trophic State Index (TSI) .....	158
Table 2.14-3	Lake Rehabilitation Techniques .....	159
Table 2.14-4	Listing of Phase II and Section 319 Projects for Lake Water Quality Control .....	160
Table 2.14-5	Summary of Individual Lake Beneficial Use Support.....	162
Table 2.14-6	Summary of Assessed Lake and Reservoir Support Use.....	170
Table 2.14-7	Summary of Lake and Reservoir Support Use by Designated Beneficial Use (acres) .....	170
Table 2.14-8	Total Size of Lake Assessment Units Not Fully Supporting Uses Affected by Various Cause Categories (Acres).....	172
Table 2.14-9	Total Size of Lake Assessment Units Not Fully Supporting Uses Affected by Various Source Categories (Acres).....	173
Table 2.14-10	Summary of Total Lake Assessment Unit Size Affected by Toxics .....	173
Table 2.14-11	Trends in Water Quality of Lakes and Reservoirs.....	174
Table 2.14-12	Utah Reservoir/Lake Monitoring List and Trophic State Index (TSI) Evaluation .....	174
Table 2.14-13	Category 1 – All Designated Uses are Met.....	178
Table 2.14-14	Category 2 – Some Designated Uses are Supported; Insufficient Data to Assess Other Designated Uses.....	178
Table 2.14-15	Category 4A - Total Maximum Daily Load Analyses Completed and Approved by EPA .....	181
Table 2.14-16	Category 5 - Lakes Needing Total Maximum Daily Load Analysis .....	182
Table 2.14-17	Category 4 and Category 5 - Lake and Reservoir Approved TMDLs for some but not all Pollutants Assessment Units Meeting Standards .....	186

Table 2.14-18	Category 3B – Lakes not Fully Supporting Beneficial Uses for 2008, but will not be listed until Two Consecutive Assessment Cycles Demonstrated Impairment.....	186
Table 2.14-19	Table 1 Lake Beneficial Use Assessment by Category - Lake Acreage.	187
Table 2.15-1	Assessment Unit Biological Sampling O/E Values and Aquatic Life (Class 3) Assessments.....	189
Table 2.16-1	Assessment Units with Elevated Phosphorus Levels and Those AU Assessed with Benthic Macroinvertebrate Data but an Assessment of Support Could Not Be Made .....	202

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support for Streams.....	3
Figure 2.1-2	Overall Beneficial Use Support - Statewide .....	5
Figure 2.3-1	Watershed Management Units.....	23
Figure 2.3-2	Stream miles assessed in various beneficial use assessment categories ...	27
Figure 2.3-3	Statewide overall beneficial use support assessment for streams.....	28
Figure 2.3-4	Statewide beneficial use assessment by categories.....	32
Figure 2.3-5	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Statewide Assessment.....	33
Figure 2.3-6	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – 2008 Integrated Report .....	34
Figure 2.3-7	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – 2008 Integrated Report.....	35
Figure 2.3-8	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality.....	36
Figure 2.4-1	River and stream beneficial use classes – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	38
Figure 2.4-2	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	39
Figure 2.4-3	River and stream designated beneficial uses – Bear River Watershed Management Unit.....	41
Figure 2.4-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Bear River Watershed Assessment Unit .....	45
Figure 2.4-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	46
Figure 2.4-6	Percent of stream miles impacted by various sources – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	47
Figure 2.4-7	Relative percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Bear River Watershed Management Unit .....	48
Figure 2.5-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	50
Figure 2.5-2	Weber River Watershed Management Unit beneficial use classifications	53
Figure 2.5-3	Weber River Watershed Management Unit assessment by categories.....	54
Figure 2.5-4	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	58

Figure 2.5-5	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Weber River Watershed Management Unit.....	59
Figure 2.5-6	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Weber River Watershed Management Unit .....	60
Figure 2.6-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	63
Figure 2.6-2	Beneficial use classifications – Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	65
Figure 2.6-3	Beneficial use assessment by category -Jordan River/Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit .....	66
Figure 2.6-4	Percent of stream miles impacted by various causes – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit .....	69
Figure 2.6-5	Relative percent impacted by causes on water quality – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	70
Figure 2.6-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	71
Figure 2.6-7	Relative percent impact by various sources on water quality – Jordan River / Utah Lake Watershed Management Unit.....	72
Figure 2.7-1	Beneficial use classifications – Uinta Watershed Management Unit .....	80
Figure 2.7-2	Beneficial use assessment by categories – Uinta Watershed Management Unit .....	81
Figure 2.7-3	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit.....	82
Figure 2.7-4	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit .....	83
Figure 2.7-5	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit.....	84
Figure 2.7-6	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Uinta Watershed Management Unit .....	85
Figure 2.8-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support - Sevier.....	90
Figure 2.8-2	Beneficial use classifications – Sevier Watershed Management Unit.....	93
Figure 2.8-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	94
Figure 2.8-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Sevier Watershed Management Unit.....	96
Figure 2.8-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit.....	97
Figure 2.8-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Sevier River Watershed Management Unit .....	98
Figure 2.8-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Sevier Watershed Management Unit .....	99
Figure 2.9-1	Overall beneficial use support .....	103
Figure 2.9-2	River and stream designated beneficial use classes – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	106
Figure 2.9-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Cedar / Beaver Watershed Management Unit.....	107

Figure 2.9-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	111
Figure 2.9-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	112
Figure 2.9-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	113
Figure 2.9-7	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Cedar/Beaver Watershed Management Unit .....	114
Figure 2.10-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	115
Figure 2.10-2	River and stream designated beneficial use classes – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit .....	118
Figure 2.10-3	River and stream assessment by category – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	119
Figure 2.10-4	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various causes – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	121
Figure 2.10-5	Relative percent impact by causes on water quality – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit .....	122
Figure 2.10-6	Percent of assessed stream miles impacted by various sources – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	123
Figure 2.10-7	Relative percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Lower Colorado Watershed Management Unit.....	124
Figure 2.11-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	127
Figure 2.11-2	Beneficial use classifications – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	129
Figure 2.11-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit.....	130
Figure 2.11-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	132
Figure 2.11-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	133
Figure 2.11-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	134
Figure 2.11-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Colorado River West Watershed Management Unit .....	135
Figure 2.12-1	Overall Beneficial Use Support .....	138
Figure 2.12-2	Beneficial use classifications – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	140
Figure 2.12-3	Beneficial use assessment by category – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	141
Figure 2.12-4	Percent impact by causes on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	145
Figure 2.12-5	Relative percent contribution of causes on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	146
Figure 2.12-6	Percent impact by sources on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit.....	147

Figure 2.12-7	Relative percent contribution of sources on stream water quality – Colorado River Southeast Watershed Management Unit .....	148
Figure 2.13-1	River and stream designated beneficial use classes - West Desert Watershed Management Unit .....	152
Figure 2.13-2	Beneficial use assessment by category – West Desert Water Quality Management Unit.....	153
Figure 2.14-1	Lake beneficial use assessment by category .....	188
Figure 2.15-1	Biological assessment in percentage of stream miles .....	195
Figure 2.15-2	Final Class 3 aquatic life assessment for units with biological data.....	196
Figure 2.15-3	Final aquatic life assessment in percentage of stream miles.....	198
Figure 2.16-1	Assessment Units with elevated levels of phosphorus and Assessment Units where benthic macroinvertebrate data were collected but a determination of full or non support could not be made.....	201

## **Appendix**

Appendix A-1 Comments and Responses on Draft Integrated Report